

In re application of:  
Geoffrey B. Rhoads  
Application No.: 09/538,493

Art Unit: 2137  
Confirmation No.: 8045

Filed: March 30, 2000

**VIA Electronic Filing**

For: METHODS FOR INSERTING AND  
DETECTING WATERMARKS IN  
DIGITAL DATA

Examiner: M. Smithers

Date: August 16, 2006

**Petition Under 37 CFR 1.103(a) for a Suspension of Action**

Mail Stop Amendments  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

We respectfully petition for a 6 month suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103(a).

The present application includes claims copied from U.S. Patent No. 5,889,868 (hereafter referred to as the "Moskowitz patent"); the Examiner has allowed all of the copied claims presently under consideration.

We recently submitted an Amendment addressing a few outstanding issues, placing this application in condition for allowance. The present application now seems poised for a recommendation of interference to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

But many claims were restricted out during prosecution of this application including claims originally copied from the Moskowitz patent and copied from related U.S. Published Patent Application No. US 2001-0029580 A1 (hereafter referred to as the "Moskowitz Application"). The Moskowitz Application claims priority back to the Moskowitz patent.

So we have recopied some of the restricted claims derived from both the Moskowitz patent and Moskowitz application into a continuation application no. 11/458,639, filed July 19, 2006.

A suspension of action in the present application is sought to provide time to examine the recopied claims in the 11/458,639 application. Such examination may also yield allowable claims *copied or substantially similar to the same Moskowitz patent* that may become subject to a second interference.

These potential different interferences will include intertwined subject matter, related expert testimony and analysis of the same priority dates, *particularly in view of the fact that they involve claims from the same Moskowitz patent*. These issues seem best decided in concurrent interferences or, ideally, in a single consolidated interference. Concurrent or consolidated interferences will provide significant resource savings for both the Patent Office and assignee, and may help avoid inconsistent results.

We respectfully submit that the above statements constitute good and sufficient reasons supporting a suspension of action; and we again request a 6 month suspension of action to allow prosecution of the 11/458,639 application.

The Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned with any questions. The Patent Office is authorized to charge our deposit account no. 50-1071 any fee needed for this Petition including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(g).

Date: August 16, 2006

**CUSTOMER NUMBER 23735**

Phone: 503-469-4685

FAX: 503-469-4777

Respectfully submitted,

DIGIMARC CORPORATION

By



Steven W. Stewart

Registration No. 45,133